| UPDATED 11.22.2026 | **LAW OFFICES OF LALIT K JAIN ESQ**<br>**Practice of Law in NY State, US Tax and District Courts, US Supreme Court, and *all* Courts in India.** | **Fon: 718-255-6576**<br>**Cell: 718-316-5921**<br>**Fax: 347-637-5498** |
|---|---|---|

***Practical*** **L**alit **K** **J**ain **Esq** ("***LKJESQ***") ***authored*** this ***practical*** **M**emorandum **o**f **L**aw ("***LKJMOL***"). When used to write and attached to legal briefs, ***it creates no attorney-client relationships but a nonbinding educational perpetual legal reminder for courts to be practical***.

***Make unbiased closings by truthful Justice*** as ***practical*** **t**ruth**isp**rudence ("***TIP***") that ***ends scapegoating*** (blaming others for wrongs they did not commit) and other ***truthless ruthless Injustices*** ("***Justicides***") ***with lifetime negative ripple effects like maximizing scapegoating*** as ***impractical*** **j**uri**sp**rudence ("***JIP***") ***because law continues due process of law until then***.[1]

***Prevent or correct*** fraud on the courts[2] and lawyers' zeal above candor.[3] ***Make prompt error corrections because SCOTUS Rule 10 is discretionary***.[4] ***Establish*** equal Justice under law.[5]

***Order*** legally authorized and factually warranted compensatory and punitive reliefs and redress ***from scapegoaters.***[6] **L**earn **a**nd **l**ive **i**n **t**ruth **k**nowing **J**ustice **a**lways **i**nsures **n**ature.

***Lalit K Jain Esq***

---

[1] ***People v Onuorah Docket No***. **2012QN040877 (NYC Crim. Ct., Queens Cnty., Oct 31, 2013) (Zoll, J.)** Tr. 20:25-21:2 **(**"THE COURT: …I do find the Defendant guilty … and I am prepared to impose a sentence, unless you want to be heard.") Tr. 21:3 ("MR. JAIN: Yes, Your Honor ….**")**; Tr. 22:10-11 ("Parties step up real quick."); ("Whereupon a bench discussion was held."); Tr. 22:12-22 ("THE COURT: After re-examining the statute more closely … as I reread it many, many more times, my initial reading of it was incorrect …."); Tr. 23:2-6 ("…I have to change my verdict to not guilty…. Case dismissed."); Tr. 23:7 ("COURT OFFICER: You're free to go."). Certified transcript with clarifying notes by Lalit K Jain Esq on page 1, not part thereof, ***is attached***; see also www.TruthIsPrudence.Com.

[2] **"**…In the case of ***Michoud v Girod,*** **[*1846*]** 4 How 503, lately decided in this Court, transactions were investigated after a lapse of more than twenty years; but the facts proving the fraud were all on the record, and were not disputed. The false accounts made out against the estate of the deceased by the executors were on file, and their iniquity was apparent on their face...."
***Hammond v Hopkins***, **1892**, ***CJ Fuller***, 143 US 224, 252.

[3] **ABA** ***Model Rules of Professional Conduct: Preamble & Scope***, A Lawyer's Responsibilities…[8] **(**"...when an opposing party is well represented, a lawyer can be a ***zealous*** advocate on behalf of a client and at the same time assume that justice is being done."). See NY Rule of Professional Conduct, 22 NYCRR 1200.0, Rule 3.3. "[W]hile an attorney should represent his client with singular loyalty, ***that loyalty obviously*** does not demand that he act dishonestly or fraudulently; on the contrary his loyalty to the court, as an officer thereof, ***demands integrity and honest dealing with the court***. And when he departs from that standard in the conduct of a case he perpetrates fraud upon a court... (ci[ting] cases)," ***Demjanjuk*** **v** ***Petrovsky***, 1993, 6th Cir., 10 F3d 338, 352. (alterations, insertions and emphasis added).

[4] "…Review on a writ of certiorari is not a matter of right, but of judicial discretion. … A petition for **[*it*]** …is rarely granted when the asserted error consists of erroneous factual findings or the misapplication of a properly stated rule of law." The **S**upreme **C**ourt **o**f **t**he **U**nited **S**tates ("***SCOTUS***") **Rule 10.**

[5] "…where a court has jurisdiction, it has a right to decide every question which occurs in the cause…But if it act without authority, its … **[*Injustices*]** … are regarded as ***nullities***. They are not voidable but simply void…and all persons…executing such judgments or sentences are considered in law as trespassers **[**in law ("***Outlaws***" and "***Scofflaws***") ***violating equal Justice under law***]."
***Elliott v Lessee of Piersol, 1828, 26 US (1 Pet.) 328, 340***. (alterations, insertions and emphasis added).

[6] "We are facing a principle more nearly ultimate than either waiver or estoppel, one with roots in the yet larger principle that no one shall be permitted to found any claim upon his own inequity or take advantage of his own wrong (***Riggs*** v ***Palmer***, **[1889]** 115 NY 506)." ***It means no scapegoating***.
***Imperator Realty Co***. ***v Tull***, ***1920***, ***Cardozo J***., ***Ct App***., 228 NY 447, 457.